



BLUM | COLLINS LLP

Aon Center
707 Wilshire Boulevard
Suite 4880
Los Angeles, California
90017

213.572-0405 phone
213.572-0401 fax

December 14, 2017

BY CERTIFIED MAIL

United States Steel Corporation
Corporate Headquarters
600 Grant Street
Pittsburgh, PA 15219

Corporation Services Company
135 North Pennsylvania Street
Suite 1610
Indianapolis, IN 46204

U.S. Steel Corporation - Midwest Plant
One North Broadway Mail Station 70
Gary, IN 46402

RE: Notice of Intent to Sue for Violations of the Clean Water Act

To Whom It May Concern:

I write on behalf of Connie Collins, who resides and recreates near the U.S. Steel Corporation-Midwest Plant in Portage, Indiana, and on behalf of the Duners for a Clean Lake, which is an unincorporated association of Ogden Dunes-area residents who seek to preserve and protect Lake Michigan. For simplicity, Connie Collins and the Duners for a Clean Lake are collectively referred to herein as "Connie Collins." Connie Collins joins in the November 13, 2017 letter from the Surfrider Foundation to you, which is mostly reiterated below.

The U.S. Steel Corporation-Midwest Plant in Portage, Indiana discharges its wastewater into the Burns Waterway, a small industrial ditch which empties directly into Lake Michigan. Connie Collins recreates in Lake Michigan directly adjacent to the Burns Waterway and is adversely affected by pollution from the U.S. Steel Corporation-Midwest Plant. This letter constitutes the Connie Collins' notice of intent to sue for violations of the Clean Water Act resulting from the facility's operation in violation of the law. The violations upon which this notice letter is based are set forth more fully below.

The Indiana Department of Environmental Management issued National Pollutant Discharge Elimination System (NPDES) permit No. IN0000337 to the U.S. Steel Corporation--Midwest Plant. The permit establishes effluent limits for wastewater discharges from the facility, provides qualitative standards for the character of discharges, requires regular monitoring of discharges, and establishes maintenance standards for the facility. Any discharges over these

limitations or standards constitute violations of Sections 301 (a) and 402(2) of the Clean Water Act, 33 U.S.C. §§ 131 l(a) and 1342(a). Section 505(a) of the Clean Water Act empowers citizens to sue to enforce all conditions of a NPDES permit. 33 U.S.C. §1365(a). The violations detailed in this notice letter include violations of Permit No. IN0000337, dated January 31, 2011, and the updated version of that Permit, which went into effect on March 30, 2016.

Based on publicly available discharge monitoring reports and other publicly available information, we think U.S. Steel has repeatedly violated, and will continue to violate, §§ 301(a) and 402(a) of the federal Clean Water Act and NPDES permit No. IN0000337. The U.S. Steel Corporation--Midwest Plant has routinely violated the conditions of its permit, in both discharge limits and facility maintenance. Most egregiously, on April 10 and 11, 2017, according to Indiana Department of Environmental Management reports, poor facility maintenance allowed for the illegal discharge of approximately 350 pounds of total chromium--over 10 times the daily maximum limit for total chromium--a discharge predominantly comprising nearly 300 pounds of hexavalent chromium--hundreds of times more than the daily maximum limit for hexavalent chromium. This incident led to closures of public drinking water intakes and public beaches, including the Indiana Dunes National Lakeshore, that is frequented by Connie Collins. Further, the Midwest Plant has violated its permit limits regarding chromium discharges within the past month. According to an October 31, 2017 letter from U.S. Steel to Indiana Department of Environmental Management, during a 24-hour period extending from October 25 to October 26, 2017, the total chromium loading from Outfall 304 was 56.7 lbs/day, approximately twice the daily maximum limit. The specific details of the facility's repeated violations are discussed below.

I. Permit Limits and Standards

Within the last five years, U.S. Steel's Midwest Plant has been governed by two permits entitled NPDES Permit No. IN0000337 and issued by the Indiana Department of Environmental Management. The first went into effect on January 31, 2011, and the second replaced this earlier permit on March 30, 2016. NPDES Permit No. IN0000337 includes these relevant limits.

A. Quantitative Limits:

Total Recoverable Chromium:

- Both permits impose a daily maximum quantity of 30 lbs/day of total recoverable chromium discharges from Outfall 304.
- The 2016 permit imposes a monthly average rate of 10 lbs/day of total recoverable chromium discharges from Outfall 304.

Hexavalent Chromium:

- Both permits impose a daily maximum quantity of 0.51 lbs/day of hexavalent chromium from Outfall 304.

- The 2016 permit imposes a monthly average quantity of 0.17 lbs/day of hexavalent chromium discharges from Outfall 304.

Oil and Grease:

Both permits impose a daily maximum quantity of 765 lbs/day of oil and grease discharges from Outfall 304.

Temperature:

- *Temperature Rise:* The 2011 and the 2016 permits each mandate that "there shall be no rise in the temperature in Portage-Burns Waterway of greater than 2 °F, as determined from upstream temperature and downstream temperature at the edge of the mixing zone."
- *Winter Maximum Temperature:* Both permits further require that from December through March, the downstream temperature at the edge of the mixing zone may not exceed the maximum limit for the month by more than 3 °F at any time. The relevant monthly maximums here are: January 50 °F, February 50 °F, March 60 °F, and December 57 °F.
- *Spring, Summer and Fall Maximum Temperature:*
 - From April through November, the downstream temperature may not exceed the limit for each month (subject to the below exceptions). For April, May, September, October, and November, the limit for each month (subject to the below exceptions) is 65 °F; for June, July, and August, the limit for each month is 70 °F.
- The 2011 permit allows an exception to the above April-through-November limits when the upstream temperature equals or exceeds the maximum limitation for that day.
- The 2016 permit allows an exception to the above April-through-November limits when "the upstream temperature is within 2 °F of the maximum limitation for that day." This exception allows downstream temperatures that exceed the limit for the month if the downstream temperature is within 2 °F of the maximum limitation for that day.
- The 2 °F temperature-rise limitation and the monthly maximum-temperature limits are each imposed to assure that thermal discharges from the U.S. Steel facility neither cause nor contribute to adverse effects upon aquatic life, injury to fish, wildlife or other aquatic life, or other environmental harms in the Burns Waterway and in Lake Michigan.

Whole Effluent Toxicity Tests:

Both permits require U.S. Steel to conduct quarterly bioassay tests on model organisms to monitor the toxicity of the discharge from Outfall 004. If the effluent exceeds 1.5 chronic toxic units (TU_c) during the tests on *Ceriodaphnia dubia*, this is considered to demonstrate chronic toxicity and constitutes a failure of the toxicity test. Clean Water Act

§ 101(a)(3) prohibits the "discharge of toxic pollutants in toxic amounts." 33 U.S.C. § 1251(a)(3).

B. Narrative Water Quality Limits:

Both permits include sections reflecting applicable "Narrative Water Quality Standards" which mandate that the U.S. Steel facility's "[d]ischarge ... shall not cause receiving waters to contain substances, materials, floating debris, oil, scum or other pollutants ... that are in amounts sufficient to be unsightly or deleterious ... [or] that produce color, visible oil sheen, odor, or other conditions in such degree as to create a nuisance." The discharge must also not be "in amounts sufficient to be acutely toxic to, or to otherwise severely injure or kill aquatic life, or other animals, plants, or humans." Outside the mixing zone, the discharge must not contain "substances in concentrations which ... are believed to be sufficient to injure, be chronically toxic to, or be carcinogenic, mutagenic, or teratogenic to humans, animals, aquatic life, or plants".

C. Monitoring and Reporting Requirements:

Five Times per Week Monitoring:

- The 2011 permit requires that the facility monitor discharge from Outfalls 104, 204, and 304 five times per week for these parameters: flow, total suspended solids (TSS), oil and grease, total chromium, zinc, total cyanide, and pH level. (Note: pH level is not monitored at Outfall 304.)
- The 2016 permit requires that the facility monitor discharge of flow, oil and grease, and pH from Outfall 004--and the discharge of flow, TSS, oil and grease, total chromium, zinc, and total cyanide from Outfalls 104, 204 and 304--five times per week.

Quarterly Monitoring:

- The 2011 permit requires that the facility discharge storm water only through Outfalls 001, 102 and 103. The facility must monitor these three storm-water outfalls on a quarterly basis.
- The 2016 permit requires that the facility monitor discharges of TSS, Chemical Oxygen Demand (COD), Ammonia, and Zinc from Outfalls 002 and 003 on a quarterly basis.

Whole Effluent Toxicity Tests:

Both permits require the whole effluent toxicity tests take place quarterly, unless this schedule is superseded by the Toxicity Reduction Evaluation Schedule of Compliance implemented following the demonstration of toxicity.

Total Toxic Organics:

Both permits require U.S. Steel to either test for total toxic organics (TTO) on a quarterly basis or to submit a certification statement with specified language.

Signatory and Certification Requirements:

Both permits require that a responsible corporate officer or their duly authorized representative sign and certify all reports. The certification must include specified language.

Changes in Discharge of Toxic Substances:

Both permits require that U.S. Steel "notify the Commissioner as soon as it knows or has reason to believe: That any activity has occurred or will occur which would result in the discharge, on a routine or frequent basis, of any pollutant identified as toxic ... which is not limited in the permit, if that discharge will exceed the highest of the following 'notification levels': 100 micrograms/liter or 5 times the maximum concentrated value reported for that pollutant in the permit application.

D. Maintenance Standards:

Good Housekeeping:

Both permits require U.S. Steel to "keep clean all exposed areas that are potential sources of pollutants." The good housekeeping program must "include a cleaning and maintenance program for all impervious areas of the facility where particulate matter, dust, or debris may accumulate."

Maintenance:

Both permits include "Proper Operation and Maintenance" requirements that mandate that "[t]he permittee shall at all times maintain in good working order and efficiently operate all facilities and systems (and related appurtenances) for the collection and treatment which are installed or used by the permittee and which are necessary for achieving compliance with the terms and conditions of this permit." And "nonstructural control measures must also be diligently maintained If control measures need to be replaced or repaired, [U.S. Steel must] make the necessary repairs or modifications as expeditiously as practicable."

Corrective Actions:

Both permits mandate that if an unauthorized release occurs or discharge, including any spill, leak or discharge of non-storm water not authorized by the permit, U.S. Steel must "review and revise the selection, design, installation, and implementation of [its] control measures" to ensure that the condition is eliminated and will not be repeated. U.S. Steel is further required to "take all reasonable steps to minimize or correct any adverse impact to the environment resulting from noncompliance with the permit."

E. Storm Water Conditions:

Outfall 004:

The 2016 permit allows U.S. Steel to discharge only "process waste water from internal outfalls 104 and 204" through Outfall 004. The permit does not authorize the discharge of storm water from Outfall 004 or from internal outfalls 104 or 204.

Storm Water Management:

The permit includes provisions for storm-water management. Relevant sections of the permit include Part I(C) ("Maintenance") and Parts I(D)(4)(d)(2) and (D)(4)(d)(3) ("Spill Prevention and Response Procedures"). The permit requires both "[p]reventive measures such as barriers between material storage and traffic areas, secondary containment provisions, and procedures for material storage and handling" and "[p]rocedures for expeditiously stopping, containing, and cleaning up leaks, spills, and other releases". These provisions ensure that industrial processes do not contaminate storm-water runoff

II. Violations

A. Violations of Quantitative Limits

There have been numerous violations of quantitative limits set in the facility's NPDES permit within the last five years, as demonstrated by monitoring data reported by U.S. Steel in its monthly Discharge Monitoring Reports. Each exceedance represents a violation of the federal Clean Water Act, 33 U.S.C. § 131 I(a), and NPDES Permit No. IN0000337. The below table documents self-reported limits violations.

Discharge Type	Date(s) of Violation	Outfall	Measured Value	Type of Allowance	Permit Allowance
Chromium, Total Recoverable	02/03/2013	304A	36.17 lbs/day ¹	Daily maximum	30 lbs/day
Whole Effluent Toxicity, Chronic – C. dubia	Week of 08/04/2013	004	2.0 TUc	Quarterly maximum	1.5 TUc (100/IC25)
Temperature, Downstream	05/26/2014	500A	65.66°F	Daily maximum	65°F
Temperature, Downstream	05/27/2014	500A	65.37°F	Daily maximum	65°F
Temperature, Downstream	05/29/2014	500A	66.25°F	Daily maximum	65°F
Whole Effluent Toxicity, Chronic – C. dubia	Week of 06/08/2014	004	2.9 TUc (100/NOEC) / 2.3 TUc (100/IC25)	Quarterly maximum	1.5 TUc (100/NOEC) / 1.5 TUc (100/IC25)
Whole Effluent Toxicity, Chronic – C. dubia	Week of 06/22/2014	004	2.9 TUc (100/NOEC) / 1.6 TUc (100/IC25)	Quarterly maximum	1.5 TUc (100/NOEC) / 1.5 TUc (100/IC25)
Temperature, Downstream	06/02/2014	500A	71.11°F	Daily maximum	70°F
Temperature, Downstream	06/03/2014	500A	70.64°F	Daily maximum	70°F
Temperature, Downstream	09/15/2014	500A	66.65°F	Daily maximum	65°F

Temperature Difference Receiving Water	10/01/2014	500A	7.55°F	Daily rise	2°F
Temperature, Downstream	10/02/2014	500A	66.73°F	Daily maximum	65°F
Oil & Grease	03/19/2015	304A	848 lbs/day	Daily maximum	765 lbs/day
Temperature, Downstream	10/07/2015	500A	65.6°F	Daily maximum	65°F
Temperature, Downstream	10/08/2015	500A	65.6°F	Daily maximum	65°F
Temperature, Downstream	10/09/2015	500A	65.1°F	Daily maximum	65°F
Temperature, Downstream	10/10/2015	500A	65.4°F	Daily maximum	65°F
Temperature, Downstream	10/22/2015	500A	65.7°F	Daily maximum	65°F
Temperature, Downstream	10/23/2015	500A	65.5°F	Daily maximum	65°F
Temperature Difference Receiving Water	09/07/2016	500A	2.1°F	Daily rise	2°F
Temperature Difference Receiving Water	11/02/2016	500A	2.1°F	Daily rise	2°F
Chromium, Hexavalent	01/12/2017	304A	2.371 lbs/day	Daily maximum	0.51 lbs/day
Temperature, Downstream	02/26/2017	500A	53.35°F	Daily maximum	50°F
Temperature, Downstream	02/27/2017	500A	53.93°F	Daily maximum	50°F
Temperature, Downstream	02/28/2017	500A	54.54°F	Daily maximum	50°F
Chromium, Total Recoverable	04/10/2017	304A	138.8 lbs/day	Daily maximum	30 lbs/day
Chromium, Total Recoverable	04/11/2017	304A	204.0 lbs/day	Daily maximum	30 lbs/day

Chromium, Total Recoverable	April 2017	304A	13.97 lbs/day	Monthly average maximum	10 lbs/day
Chromium, Hexavalent	04/11/2017	304A	902.8 lbs/day ²	Daily maximum	0.51 lbs/day
Chromium, Hexavalent	04/12/2017	304A	1.782 lbs/day	Daily maximum	0.51 lbs/day
Chromium, Hexavalent	April 2017	304A	50.26 lbs/day	Monthly average maximum	0.17 lbs/day
Chromium, Total Recoverable	10/25/2017	304A	56.7 lbs/day	Daily Maximum	30 lbs/day

1 This amount was reported by U.S. Steel in the narrative cover letter it appended to its Discharge Monitoring Reports submission. The Discharge Monitoring Reports data that U.S. Steel reported for this day was 34.37 lbs/day.

2 This figure was submitted by U.S. Steel in its April 2017 Discharge Monitoring Reports data. U.S. Steel staff attested to the accuracy of this figure in certifying its submission. But U.S. Steel stated in a footnote this figure represented "an absurd result" of the mathematical formula required by the permit. According to other reports U.S. Steel submitted to Indiana Department of Environmental Management, during April 11 and 12, 2017, the facility released approximately 346 lbs. of total chromium, approximately 298 lbs. of which was hexavalent chromium. The Discharge Monitoring Reports data reflects that a significant portion of the overall total chromium was discharged on April 10; the Discharge Monitoring Reports also reflects no discharge of hexavalent chromium on that date.

B. Violations of Qualitative Limits

Besides violations of quantitative limits, the U.S. Steel Corporation – Midwest Plant has also violated the qualitative water quality standards in its permit. Violations occurred during, preceding, and following the events of April 10 and 11, 2017. On the morning of April 11, 2017, U.S. Steel reported a bluish-green color in the effluent from Outfall 004. Photographs from that morning show substantial discoloration of effluent. And as reported in the monthly Discharge Monitoring Reports and other reports made by U.S. Steel to Indiana Department of Environmental Management, effluent discoloration was also observed once in December 2013 and twice in April 2016. In all three cases prior to the April 10 and 11, 2017 incident, U.S. Steel reported white or cloudy discoloration observed at Outfall 004, and in all three cases, Indiana Department of Environmental Management noted these events as violations.

004 to handle storm water, whereas the 2016 permit no longer authorizes the use of Outfall 004 for that purpose. However, the fact sheet attached to the 2016 permit indicates that Outfall 004 continues to handle storm-water runoff from over 25 acres of industrial property. If the facility is continuing to route storm water through Outfall 004, then U.S. Steel may have been committing ongoing permit violations every day (or at least every rainy day it has discharged storm water) since the issuance of the 2016 permit.

It also appears that U.S. Steel ceased quarterly reporting of Outfall 001S in March 2015- a time when the 2011 permit still required this reporting. Data we have reviewed does not explain this discrepancy.

C. Reporting and Monitoring Violations

Last, the U.S. Steel Corporation- Midwest Plant has repeatedly violated the monitoring and reporting requirements within its permit. The below table lists reporting violations for the facility during the past five years.

Discharge Type	Date(s) of Violation	Outfall	Description of Violation	Type of Violation
	December 2012		No quarterly testing was conducted in this month	Not conducted as required
	June 2013		Required quarterly testing data missing	Not reported as required
Temperature	10/01/2014	500A	7.55 °F temperature difference reported as a 2 °F difference	Incorrectly calculated temperature difference
Temperature	01/06/2016	500A	2 °F difference reported as 1 °F difference	Incorrectly calculated temperature difference
Temperature	01/07/2016	500A	2 °F difference reported as 1 °F difference	Incorrectly calculated temperature difference
Temperature	01/09/2016	500A	2 °F difference reported as 1 °F difference	Incorrectly calculated temperature difference
Temperature	01/10/2016	500A	2 °F difference reported as 1 °F difference	Incorrectly calculated temperature difference
Temperature	01/15/2016	500A	2 °F difference reported as 1 °F difference	Incorrectly calculated temperature difference
Temperature	01/16/2016	500A	2 °F difference reported as 1 °F difference	Incorrectly calculated temperature difference
Temperature	01/20/2016	500A	2 °F difference reported as 1 °F difference	Incorrectly calculated temperature difference
Temperature	01/21/2016	500A	2 °F difference reported as 1 °F difference	Incorrectly calculated temperature difference
Temperature	01/22/2016	500A	2 °F difference reported as 1 °F difference	Incorrectly calculated temperature difference
Temperature	04/23/2016	500A	2 °F difference reported as 1 °F difference	Incorrectly calculated temperature difference

United States Steel Corporation
December 14, 2017
Page 14

In conclusion, this letter provides notice of Connie Collins' intent to file a federal enforcement action under the authority of the Clean Water Act's citizen-suit provision, 33 U.S.C. § 1365(a), to secure relief for these violations. Connie Collins seeks to improve water quality in Lake Michigan by securing long-term compliance with law.

This notice letter is based on publicly available information. Additional information, including information in U.S. Steel's possession, may reveal additional violations. This letter addresses publicly identifiable violations within five years immediately preceding the date of this notice letter.

Should you or your attorney wish to discuss this matter, please contact me at the address and phone number listed below.

Sincerely,



Craig M. Collins
BLUM | COLLINS LLP

Cc:

Scott Pruitt, Administrator
U.S. Environmental Protection Agency

Mail Code 1101A
1200 Pennsylvania Ave. N.W.
Washington, D.C. 20460

Bruno L. Pigott, Commissioner
Indiana Department of Environmental
Management Central Office
Indiana Government Center North
100 North Senate Avenue
Indianapolis, IN 46204

Robert Kaplan, Acting Regional
Administrator
U.S. Environmental Protection Agency,
Region 5
77 W. Jackson Blvd.
Chicago, IL 60604

Curtis T. Hill, Indiana Attorney General,
Office of the Indiana Attorney General
Indiana Government Center South
302 W. Washington St., 5th Floor
Indianapolis, IN 46204